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Executive Summary

Power plants continue to release large amounts of toxic mercury. In 2010, 80 percent of all airborne mercury pollution in Michigan came from the smokestacks of coal-fired power plants.*

Mercury is a neurotoxicant. When children are exposed to mercury during critical periods of development, it can contribute to irreversible deficits in verbal skills, damage to attention and motor control, and reduced IQ.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has developed the first national standards limiting mercury and other toxic pollution from power plants. Implementing these standards will protect public health.

Coal-fired power plants in Michigan are a major source of airborne mercury pollution.

- The Detroit Edison Monroe Power Plant in Monroe emitted the most mercury pollution of any power plant in Michigan in 2010, releasing 660 pounds. This amount is significant. One small drop of mercury is enough to make the fish in a 25-acre lake unsafe to eat.
- The Monroe Plant ranked as the fourteenth most polluting power plant for mercury emissions in the nation.
- Three of the top 100 most polluting power plants for mercury emissions in the country are located in Michigan.
- Among all states nationwide, Michigan ranked tenth in terms of the total amount of airborne mercury pollution released by power plants – 2,253 pounds.
- Table ES-1 ranks the top five largest power plant mercury polluters in Michigan.

Table ES-1: Power Plants in Michigan Ranked by Airborne Mercury Emissions in 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Rank (of 20)</th>
<th>National Rank (of 457)</th>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>Airborne Mercury Pollution (pounds)</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Detroit Edison Monroe Power Plant</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>DTE Energy Co.</td>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>Monroe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Detroit Edison Belle River Power Plant</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>DTE Energy Co.</td>
<td>China Township</td>
<td>St. Clair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>Detroit Edison St. Clair Power Plant</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>DTE Energy Co.</td>
<td>East China Township</td>
<td>St. Clair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>Detroit Edison Trenton Channel Power Plant</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>DTE Energy Co.</td>
<td>Trenton</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>J.H. Campbell Generating Plant</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>Consumers Energy</td>
<td>West Olive</td>
<td>Ottawa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
New EPA standards will limit mercury pollution from power plants and protect public health.

- Under the authority of the Clean Air Act, EPA has developed the first national standard limiting releases of mercury and other toxic air pollutants from power plants. As proposed in March, 2011, these standards will require power plant owners to cut overall emissions of mercury by more than 90 percent using widely available, proven pollution control technologies.

- The new emission standards will improve public health. EPA estimates that for every dollar spent to reduce pollution from power plants, the American public and American businesses will see up to $13 in health and economic benefits. In total, the rules could provide as much as $140 billion worth of benefits annually.

- EPA should finalize and implement these new safeguards.

*The data presented in this report focus on power plant emissions data reported to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s Toxics Release Inventory (TRI). Industries not required to report to TRI, or facilities with emissions below the reporting threshold, will not be represented in the data. For more detail, see the Methodology section on page 11.
Mercury Pollution Threatens Public Health

Mercury is a neurotoxicant. Children are particularly vulnerable to the harmful impacts of mercury during critical windows of development that occur before birth, inside a mother’s womb. Mercury exposure can lead to irreversible deficits in verbal skills, damage to attention and motor control, and reduced IQ.

Largely due to emissions from coal-fired power plants, mercury contaminates waterways across the United States, from coastal bays to the Great Lakes. Mercury does not decompose in the environment. Rather, it can accumulate in fish and rise up the food chain, all the way to humans.

Scientists at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency have estimated that one in ten women of childbearing age in the United States has enough mercury in her bloodstream to damage her child’s brain development should she become pregnant. In other words, on the order of 320,000 to 640,000 children born every year are likely to suffer developmental damage from mercury exposure.

Mercury Is Toxic to Human Development

As documented by the U.S. National Academy of Sciences, children who are exposed to low-dosage levels of mercury while in the womb can develop brain damage, leading to delayed language development, deficits in verbal skills, damage to attention and motor control, and reduced IQ. The effects appear irreversible. Scientists have found that deficits still persist at age 14.

Even adults are vulnerable to mercury pollution. Low-level exposure can cause deficits in brain function, as well as fertility and cardiovascular problems.

Researchers at Mt. Sinai School of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston Children’s Hospital and the Albert Einstein College of Medicine estimate that mercury pollution costs the nation $8.7 billion annually in diminished intelligence of the population and resulting lost productivity.

Mercury Contamination Is Widespread

Mercury occurs naturally in our environment and can be found in coal and other underground rock deposits. When power plants burn coal, they emit mercury and other toxic pollutants into the air. After leaving the power plant smokestack, mercury pollution falls to the ground in raindrops and then washes into lakes, streams and the ocean.

Mercury does not readily decompose in the environment. Instead, small organisms can transform it into mercury compounds that do not readily leave the body. These compounds build up in fish tissues and tend to increase in concentration in species at the top of the food chain. People who eat contaminated fish end up with mercury in their bodies.

According to the United States Environmental Protection Agency, mercury pollution impairs nearly 5,000 bodies of water across the country. Mercury contamination affects:
• More than 14 million acres of bays, estuaries, lakes, reservoirs, and ponds;
• More than 60,000 miles of rivers and streams; and
• More than 6,600 miles of coastal shoreline.\(^9\)

As a result of widespread mercury contamination, every state in the United States has issued an advisory warning against the consumption of species of fish that tend to have dangerous levels of mercury.\(^{10}\) Every square inch of the Great Lakes is under a mercury advisory.\(^{11}\)

Michigan has set a statewide advisory warning that fish from any lake are likely to be contaminated with mercury. Additionally, the state has set fish consumption advisories due to high mercury levels in 220 specific water bodies.\(^{12}\)
Power Plants in Michigan Continue to Emit Large Amounts of Mercury Pollution

Power plants are the largest source of airborne mercury emissions in Michigan. In 2010, 80 percent of all airborne mercury pollution in Michigan came from the smokestacks of coal-fired power plants. In other words, power plants generate more airborne mercury pollution than all other sources – including steel mills and cement manufacturing plants – combined.

In total, power plants in Michigan emitted 2,253 pounds of mercury pollution into the air. This amount is significant. One small drop of mercury per year (just one-seventieth of a teaspoon) is enough make the fish in a 25-acre lake unsafe to eat.

Among all states nationwide, Michigan ranked tenth in terms of the total amount of airborne mercury pollution released by power plants. (See Table 1.)

**Table 1: Top Ten States Ranked by Total Power Plant Emissions of Airborne Mercury Pollution in 2010**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Airborne Mercury Emissions (pounds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>11,127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>4,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>3,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>3,835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>3,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>3,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>2,495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>2,363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>2,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>2,253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Detroit Edison Monroe Power Plant in Monroe emitted the most mercury pollution of any power plant in Michigan in 2010, at 660 pounds. (See Table 2.) This plant ranked as the fourteenth most polluting power plant for mercury emissions in the nation.

Three out of the top 100 largest mercury polluting power plants in the country are located in Michigan. Table 2 presents a complete list of Michigan’s power plants ranked by emissions of mercury to the air in 2010.
### Table 2: Power Plants in Michigan Ranked by Airborne Mercury Emissions in 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Rank (of 20)</th>
<th>National Rank (of 457)</th>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>Airborne Mercury Pollution (pounds)</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Detroit Edison Monroe Power Plant</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>DTE Energy Co.</td>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>Monroe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Detroit Edison Belle River Power Plant</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>DTE Energy Co.</td>
<td>China Township</td>
<td>St. Clair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>Detroit Edison St. Clair Power Plant</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>DTE Energy Co.</td>
<td>East China Township</td>
<td>St. Clair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>Detroit Edison Trenton Channel Power Plant</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>DTE Energy Co.</td>
<td>Trenton</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>J.H. Campbell Generating Plant</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>Consumers Energy</td>
<td>West Olive</td>
<td>Ottawa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>D.E. Karn - J.C. Weadock Generating Plant</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>Consumers Energy</td>
<td>Essexville</td>
<td>Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>Detroit Edison River Rouge Power Plant</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>DTE Energy Co.</td>
<td>River Rouge</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>Eckert Station</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>Lansing Board of Water &amp; Light</td>
<td>Lansing</td>
<td>Ingham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>J.R. Whiting Generating Plant</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Consumers Energy</td>
<td>Erie</td>
<td>Monroe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>B.C. Cobb Generating Plant</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Consumers Energy</td>
<td>Muskegon</td>
<td>Muskegon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>Erickson Station</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Lansing Board of Water &amp; Light</td>
<td>Lansing</td>
<td>Ingham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>Presque Isle Power Plant</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Wisconsin Energy Corp.</td>
<td>Marquette</td>
<td>Marquette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>Marquette Board of Light &amp; Power</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Marquette Board of Light &amp; Power</td>
<td>Marquette</td>
<td>Marquette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>Michigan South Central Power Agency</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Michigan South Central Power Agency</td>
<td>Litchfield</td>
<td>Hillsdale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>Detroit Edison Harbor Beach Power Plant</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>DTE Energy Co.</td>
<td>Harbor Beach</td>
<td>Huron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>Wyandotte Department of Municipal Services Power Plant</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>City of Wyandotte</td>
<td>Wyandotte</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>James De Young Generation Station</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Holland Board of Public Works</td>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>Ottawa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>J.B. Sims Generating Station</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Grand Haven Board of Light &amp; Power</td>
<td>Grand Haven</td>
<td>Ottawa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>TES Filer City Station</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CMS Energy and Tondu Corp.</td>
<td>Filer City</td>
<td>Manistee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>White Pine Electric Power LLC</td>
<td>Less than 1</td>
<td>Traxys</td>
<td>White Pine</td>
<td>Ontonagon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
New Pollution Standards Are Needed to Clean Up Power Plants

In December 2011, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) will finalize new standards that will require power plants to clean up their emissions of mercury and other toxic contaminants.\(^{15}\)

This safeguard has been in development for more than 20 years. In 1990, Congress expanded the landmark Clean Air Act, requiring EPA to take action to reduce toxic air pollution, including mercury, to protect America’s children and overall public health. In 2000, EPA proposed a national standard requiring all power plant owners to limit their emissions of mercury and other toxic pollutants. However, this common-sense action has been tied up in industry challenges and court battles for more than a decade.

The new standards, proposed in March 2011, will require many power plants to install widely available, proven pollution control technologies.\(^{16}\) Slightly more than half of all coal-fired power plants already deploy pollution control equipment capable of delivering the performance necessary to meet the new standards. The remaining coal-fired power plants – the top polluters identified in this report – will have to clean up. For the first time, all power plants will have to operate on a level playing field across the country.

Once fully implemented, the new safeguard will reduce overall power plant emissions of mercury by more than 90 percent.\(^{17}\)

This action will reduce public exposure to mercury and other toxic air pollutants, protecting the health of every American – especially children. In addition to reducing the insidious damage to brain development caused by mercury, the new rules will have broad health benefits. EPA estimates that when the rules are fully implemented – which could be up to four years after they go into effect – reduced emissions will annually prevent:

- 17,000 premature deaths,
- 11,000 heart attacks,
- 12,000 emergency room visits and hospital admissions,
- 120,000 cases of childhood asthma symptoms, and
- 850,000 fewer days of work missed due to illness.

According to EPA, every dollar spent to reduce pollution from power plants will deliver up to $13 in health and economic benefits for the American public and American businesses.\(^{18}\) In total, the rules could provide as much as $140 billion worth of benefits annually.\(^{19}\)

The proposed mercury and toxic pollution emission standards are a clear, common-sense step that will improve public health. EPA should follow through and finalize this action as soon as possible.
Methodology

The emissions data presented in this report are derived from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), *Toxics Release Inventory, 2010 TRI Dataset*, 27 October 2011, available at www.epa.gov/tri/tridata/preliminarydataset/index.html. Toxics Release Inventory emissions data are self-reported by regulated facilities. The dataset we used was the first iteration of the 2010 inventory. EPA may update this information over time to capture late reporting and revisions.

We first selected any emitter listed in the database involved in electricity generation, by choosing facilities with a primary or secondary industry classification code (or NAICS code) beginning with 22111. We then examined emissions of mercury and mercury compounds to the air, including both fugitive emissions and emissions through power plant smokestacks. The analysis does not count any mercury emissions reported to TRI that do not involve atmospheric release.

The data represent only industries that are required to submit data to EPA under the Toxics Release Inventory program, and facilities with emissions exceeding the reporting threshold of 10 pounds per year, and is not necessarily a comprehensive listing of all power plants in each state.²⁰
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